IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

THURSDAY, THE 1ST FEBRUARY 2007 / 12TH MAGHA 1928

WP(C).No. 32838 of 2006(H)

PETITIONER:

PRAKASH, S/O. PRABHAKARAN,
ASWATHI, VADAMAN ANCHAL VILLAGE,
PATHANAPURAM TALUK, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.ANCHAL C.VIJAYAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY CHIEF SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, KOLLAM.

3. THE VILLAGE OFFICER, ANCHAL.

BY G.P.SRI.I.V.PRAMOD.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

Thottathil B. Radhakrishnan, J.

==========

W.P.(C)No.32838 of 2006

==========

Dated this the 1st day of February, 2007.

JUDGMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader.

2. Exts.P1 and P1(a) are statutory notices under Clause 4(1) of the Kerala Land Utilization order, 1967, which provides for hierarchy of authorities to decide any dispute referable to that piece of law. Petitioner is stated to have placed Ext.P4 before the Revenue Divisional Officer. If objections are filed to Ext.P1, the competent among the respondents would be duty bound to advert to and consider the same. Let that be done as expeditiously as possible. If the petitioner requires any interlocutory order to govern the situation during the pendency of such proceedings, the second respondent will consider any such

request by the petitioner.

Writ Petition is disposed of in the above terms.

Thottathil B.Radhakrishnan,
Judge.

sl.